



University of Central Florida

# Assessment Toolkit for Academic, Student and Enrollment Services

Dr. Mark Allen Poisel  
Dr. Ron Atwell  
Dr. Paula Krist

December 4, 2005





# Agenda

- **Background**
- **Purpose**
- **Content of the reviews**
- **General process**
- **Deadlines**
- **Questions**



# University of Central Florida

## Fast Facts

- **Location:** 13 miles east of downtown Orlando
- **Construction began:** January, 1967
- **Date of first classes:** October, 1968
- **Original enrollment:** 1,948 students
- **Fall 2005 enrollment:** 45,400
- **Fall 2005 FTICs Enrolled:** 4,208
- **Summer 2005 FTICs Enrolled Fall 2005:** 2,135
- **Average SAT Total:** 1176
- **Average H.S. GPA:** 3.6
- **Fall 2004 FT FTIC Retention Rate:** 84%

SACS COC Annual Meeting December 4, 2005





# Department Performance Reviews at UCF: Historical Perspective

- Started in Spring 2003
- Originated with Student Development and Enrollment Services area (SDES)
- Programs go through review process every three years
- Satisfies need for summative evaluation of department



# Objectives of Department Performance Review

- Conduct comprehensive review of the department
- Develop historical perspective of department
- Identify primary areas for improvement:
  - services offered
  - processes used
- Discuss programs with the division directors, vice presidents, other administrators
- Complement accrediting efforts



# Department Performance Review: Components of Self- Study

- Examine elements related to the key programs services or activities of the department
  
- Evaluation of:
  - Centrality
  - Quality
  - Demand
  - Distinctiveness & competitive advantage
  - Cost



# Department Performance Review: Components of Self-Study *continued*

Individual departments within a division

- develop recommendations:  
strengths, weaknesses, opportunities
- reach resource decisions:  
eliminate, review, maintain, or enhance



# Department Performance Review Template

- Web based
- Provides for permanent storage
- Allows easy access for updates and reviews
- Department and Reviewers templates
- Department template consists of three sections which address 5 evaluation areas:
  - Section A – Centrality to the university mission
  - Section B – Quality, Demand, Cost, and distinctiveness & competitive advantage
  - Section C – Action Plan and Recommendations



## Section A: Centrality of Department

- General contact information
- Department Mission
- Strategic planning goals of the department
- Alignment of department mission with the university mission and vision
- Alignment of department mission with the division mission and vision



## Section B1: Primary Functions

- List primary programs, activities and services
- Purpose and description
- Objectives
- Measures
- Data collection dates



## Sections B2 and B3: Cost

- Expenditures for each program, activity or service
- Budget comparisons (past, present, future)
- Number of staff: headcount and FTE
- Special facilities, equipment, etc. required for each activity specified for the department
- Specialized delivery requirements
  - partnerships with other areas
  - off-site travel



## Sections B4 and B5: Demand for Each Service

- Target market: who are you trying to reach?
- Number of constituents you serve
- Ability to meet demand
- Comments about future anticipated demand



## Section B6: Distinctiveness & Competitive Advantage

- Regional & national reputation
- Unique features of department
- Results from benchmarking with other colleges
- Strategic niche



# Sections B7 and B8: Impact on Student Outcomes

- Level of impact on:
  - First year retention
  - Student learning and development



## Section B9: Assessment Results

- Results of assessment and or evaluation for each program, activity or service:
  - Effectiveness
  - Efficiency
  - Quality



## **Section B10: Recommendations**

- **Recommendations by program, activity or service:**
  - **Influence demand**
  - **Improve competitiveness**
  - **Achieve productivity gains**
  - **Achieve efficiencies**
  - **Reduce cost**
  - **Improve quality**

SACS COC Annual Meeting December 4, 2005





## **Section C: Action Plan and Recommendations**

- **Action plan**
  - Expand, Reduce, Maintain, Eliminate
  - Outsource, Reorganize, Re-engineer, Study further
- **Overall comments and recommendations**
- **Planned and implemented changes**



# Phases of a Department Performance Review

- Department self-study and department level information completed by department
- Review and recommendations completed by the unit head
- Optional: review of the program completed by an external consultant
- Review of unit head (summary and department review) by appropriate administrators



# DPR Process Schedule

March (early) - First consultation

Review, agree on Primary functions, goals, objectives, and measures.

May - Second consultation

Section B2 and B3

July - Third consultation

Section B4 - B5

September - Fourth consultation

Section B6 - B8

November - Fifth consultation

Section B9, B10 and Section C

SACS COC Annual Meeting December 4, 2005





## DPR Process Schedule *continued*

December 1 - Department Performance Review completed

December - Revision Check consultation

December - February

Unit head reviews Department Performance Review

January & February

Revisions to DPR Self- Study per Unit Head

March

Unit Head summaries reviewed by Vice President



## What We Learn!

- Are departments providing the services they should be providing?
- Do departments have the resources they need to achieve their mission and goals?
- Are they effective in achieving their goals?
- Should programmatic efforts be revised, expanded or eliminated?
- Is there overlap among departments that can be consolidated?



## Quality of Department

- student learning and development objectives
- department objectives
- students' and other constituents' satisfaction
- impact on retention
- impact on student learning and development
- adequacy and quality of space and facilities
- service optimization



# Organizations to Support and Assure Quality of Process

## Support offices

- Assessment and Planning, SDES
  - DPR process guidance
  - data collection and analysis support
- Operational Excellence and Assessment Support
  - DPR process guidance
  - survey support
  - website support, templates
- Institutional Research
  - provide data



## Continue the Conversation

Dr. Mark Allen Poisel, Associate Vice President  
Academic Development and Retention  
[mpoisel@mail.ucf.edu](mailto:mpoisel@mail.ucf.edu)

Dr. Ron Atwell, Director  
Assessment and Planning, Student Development and  
Enrollment Services  
[ratwell@mail.ucf.edu](mailto:ratwell@mail.ucf.edu)

Dr. Paula Krist, Director  
Operational Excellence and Assessment Support  
[pkrist@mail.ucf.edu](mailto:pkrist@mail.ucf.edu)